



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/771,412

02/05/2004

Kazuma Aoki

118332

3848

25944 7590 07/06/2009
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 320850
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

NAJEE-ULLAH, TARIQ S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2453

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/771,412	Applicant(s) AOKI ET AL.	
	Examiner TARIQ S. NAJEE-ULLAH	Art Unit 2453	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TARIQ S. NAJEE-ULLAH. (3) Lenwood Faulcon Jr. (reg. no. 61,310).

(2) Thu Ha Nguyen. (4) Kevin Gualano.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 17 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shigeru.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claim language, specifically "in a state that the portable operation member is inserted into the opening of the interface unit, that the portable operation member is directly operated by a user." Examiner encouraged further clarification of these limitations in the form of an amendment. Applicant also discussed the 112 rejections presented in the office action. Further consideration is necessary upon receipt of formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/THUHA T. NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2453	
--	--